

Please see the following response, approved by the six members of the CRC. I have added the members of the CRC not previously included in this email chain.

In relation to your letter of June 5, 2017:

* The request to extend the previously extended deadline of June 8, 2017 to submit additional documentation to support your original challenge of May 27, 2017 is denied.

* The CRC notes staff reported the Challenger had finished its review of materials on May 30, 2017. The CRC notes that as a result, on June 1, 2017, in consultation with the Lead Chairs of Credentials and Rules, staff responded to a previous request by the Challenger to be given an extension. Such an extension was granted, based on the 7 day timeliness standard established by the CDP bylaws, with a starting date corresponding to the date of the response. The CRC notes the challenger may dispute the aforementioned reported date when the review of materials was completed and the CRC requests the Challenger provide prior to the aforementioned June 8, 2017 deadline any documentation relating to the timeline of its review of materials.

* The CRC again requests the Challenger submit any factual basis supporting the challenge based on documents reviewed to date. The CRC specifically requests that the Challenger submit information on any specific ballots they are challenging and the reason for the challenge. The CRC stipulates the Challenger's belief that further factual basis for the challenge may be created through a review of documents previously reviewed and/or additional documents not previously reviewed as referenced in the letter of June 5, 2017.

* The CRC shall interpret the letter of June 5, 2017 as a request that the CRC direct additional documentation as referenced be made available for inspection by the Challenger and shall treat this as a modification to the original challenge filed on May 27, 2017.

* In support of this modification, the CRC requests the Challenger provide prior to the aforementioned June 8, 2017 deadline any documentation relating to prior requests for the aforementioned materials. Upon receipt of this information your request shall be considered by the CRC.

* The CRC shall interpret the letter of June 5, 2017 as a request that the CRC direct Kaufman Legal Group and any other relevant parties to produce documentation, not subject to attorney client or work product privilege, relating to the firm's capacity as relates to the California Democratic Party and any disclosed potential conflicts of interests and shall treat this request as a modification to the original challenge filed on May 27, 2017.

* The CRC shall interpret the letter of June 5, 2017 as a request that the CRC order election materials be reviewed by a third party and shall treat this request as a modification to the original challenge filed on May 27, 2017.

* The CRC requests any other requested administrative actions requested by the Challenger be submitted by the aforementioned deadline of June 8, 2017.

* The CRC notes should the Challenger not submit any of the requested materials, the CRC has the right to reach a determination on the challenge based solely on the factual basis as contained in the Challenger's letters of May 27, 2017 and June 5, 2017.

* The CRC notes that should the Challenger not submit any of the requested materials, and should the CRC dismiss the challenge as a result, the Challenger may submit a new challenge, but would be required to show good cause, sustained by a unanimous vote of the CRC as specified by Article XII, Section 4 of the bylaws.

Respectfully,

Kathy Bowler, Co-Chair, Rules Committee
Lois Hill, Co-Chair, Credentials Committee
Coby King, Co-Chair, Rules Committee
Lara Larramendi, Co-Lead Chair, Credentials Committee
Garry S. Shay, Lead Chair, Rules Committee
Michael Wagaman, Lead Chair, Credentials Committee